	Case 2:12-cv-00987-JCM-CWH Document 47 Filed 03/03/14 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	
9	CODY LEAVITT,)
10	Petitioner,) Case No. 2:12-cv-00987-JCM-CWH
11	vs. ORDER
12	DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.,
13 14	Respondents.
15	
16	This action is a petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, by a
17	Nevada state prisoner represented by counsel.
18	Respondents have filed a motion for a second extension of time in which to file a response to
19	the amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus. (ECF No. 45). Respondents seek a 17-day
20	enlargement of time, up to and including March 7, 2014, to file a response. Having reviewed the motion and good cause appearing, respondents' motion is granted.
21	Petitioner has filed a <i>pro se</i> motion for the substitution of counsel. (ECF No. 43). Petitioner
22	asks the court to appoint him different counsel. (<i>Id.</i>). On July 6, 2012, this court granted petitioner's
23	motion for the appointment of counsel and appointed the federal public defender to represent petition
24	in this habeas proceeding and in case number 2:12-cv-00625-MMD-NJK. (ECF No. 6). The federal
25	public defender filed a notice of conflict on August 6, 2012. (ECF No. 11). Therefore, on August
26	

1	15, 2012, this court appointed attorney Todd Leventhal to represent petitioner in this action. (ECF
2	No. 12). The court previously denied Leventhal's motion to withdraw as counsel, and ordered him
3	to return his attentions to this mater after a brief stay allowing him to attend to other items on the
4	court's docket. (ECF No. 25). Petitioner's counsel filed an amended petition on October 15, 2013.
5	(ECF No. 36). The state is in the process of preparing a response to the amended petition.
6	Petitioner asks the court to substitute another attorney in place of court-appointed counsel, Todd
7	Leventhal. There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus
8	proceeding. Pennsylvania v. Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); Bonin v. Vasquez, 999 F.2d 425, 428
9	(9th Cir. 1993). Petitioner has been appointed counsel, Todd Leventhal, in this action and in case
10	number 2:12-cv-00625-MMD-NJK. Petitioner is not entitled to his choice of counsel when the court
11	has already appointed counsel. In the interests of justice, petitioner's motion for substitution of
12	counsel is denied. The court further orders petitioner to refrain from filing further <i>pro se</i> pleadings
13	in this action, and instead proceed through counsel, pursuant to Local Rule, Part IA 10-6(a). Any
14	further documents filed by petitioner in <i>pro se</i> shall be stricken.
15	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' motion for an extension of time (ECF
16	No. 45) to file an answer or other response to the amended petition is GRANTED. Respondents'
17	answer or other response to the amended petition shall be filed on or before March 7, 2014.
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's pro se motion for substitution of counsel
19	(ECF No. 43) is DENIED.
20	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any further documents filed by petitioner in pro se shall
21	be stricken from the record. Petitioner shall proceed through appointed counsel in this action.
22	Dated March 3, 2014.
23	
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE